1

2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 ROBIN HOLLINS and LOLA HOLLINS, No. C 16-06740 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, 12 v. ORDER REMANDING CASE 13 CORDIS CORPORATION and TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CONFLUENT MEDICAL CALIFORNIA, COUNTY 14 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., **OF ALAMEDA** 15 Defendants. 16 17 A prior order required the parties to show cause why this action should not be remanded 18 for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction for the same reasons set forth in Judge Edward Chen's 19 order and recently affirmed by our court of appeals in Dunson v. Cordis Corp., 854 F.3d 551 20 (9th Cir. 2017). The deadline to show cause has passed with no response from either side. 21 Accordingly, this action is **REMANDED** to the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: June 29, 2017. 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 27 28